



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,660	10/22/2003	Hansjorg Tschirky	P8305US	6486
7590	10/11/2005		EXAMINER	
Kohler Schmid + Partner Ruppmannstr. 27 Stuttgart, D-70565 GERMANY			FETZNER, TIFFANY A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2859	

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

20051011

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The New Formal drawings submitted August 3rd 2005 have been approved by the Official draftsperson and the examiner.

The Information Disclosure Statement submitted August 3rd 2005 filed under 37 CFR 1.97(i) does not comply with the requirements of 1.97 (i) which requires that all the requirements of 37 CFR 1.97 & 1.98, be met, because the appropriate post allowance IDS (1) statement: (i.e. citing that the documents listed were cited in a communication from a foreign patent office, and that each cited reference was not known prior to three months before the submission of the information disclosure statement), 92) the petition, and (3) the petition fee as set forth in 1.17(i) have not been filed / submitted and paid. [See 37 CFR 1.97 sections (d) through (i) which concern post allowance, but before payment of issue fee, IDS statements.]

The art listed in the IDS of August 3rd 2005 has been placed in the file, but in accordance with 1.97 (i) has not been considered by the examiner.

The claims are still considered to be allowable over the prior art of record that has been considered by the examiner, for the same reasons as those provided in the notice of allowability.

Diego Gutierrez
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800